1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8		* * *
9	GUANGYU WANG,)
10	Plaintiff,))) 3:12-CV-0498-LRH-VPC
11	v.) 3.12-C v -04-90-LKH- v i C
12	UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO, et al.,	ORDER
13	Defendants.))
14)
15	Before the court is plaintiff Guangyu Wang's ("Wang") motion to re-open this closed	
16	action. Doc. #35. ¹	
17	On September 18, 2012, Wang filed a complaint against the University of Nevada, Reno	
18	("UNR") for breach of employment contract. Doc. #1. In response, UNR filed a motion to dismiss	
19	for lack of subject matter jurisdiction (Doc. #9) which was granted by the court (Doc. #32). This	
20	action was subsequently closed. Thereafter, Wang filed the present motion to re-open. Doc. #35.	
21	In his motion, Wang requests the court re-open this case because he has now filed a charge	
22	of discrimination with the Nevada Equal Rights Commision ("NERC"). See Doc. #35. However,	
23	Wang's motion is without merit. The simple act of filing of a charge of discrimination does not	
24	grant this court subject matter jurisdiction because Wang has not received a right to sue letter.	
25	Thus, the court still cannot exercise subject matter jurisdiction over this action. Therefore, the court	
26		
	1	

¹ Refers to the court's docket number.

shall deny Wang's motion to re-open this action. Additionally, the court notes that if Wang receives a right to sue letter based on his new charge of discrimination the proper course is to initiate a new action based on his charge of discrimination rather than re-opening this closed action. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff's motion to re-open (Doc. #35) is DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED. Flsihe DATED this 2nd day of April, 2013. LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE